



**USC** University of  
Southern California

## **Individual Report for Instructor Koenig (30303-20161 : CSCI-360 Introduction to Artificial Intelligence (30303))**

### **Viterbi Student Course Evaluations - Spring 2016**

Project Audience 39

Responses Received 7

Response Ratio 17.95%


#### **Subject Details**

**Name** CSCI-360 Introduction to Artificial Intelligence (30303)  
**Section** 30303-20161  
**Course\_ID** CSCI-360  
**Course Type** Lecture  
**Course Department** CSCI  
**School** ENGR  
**First Name** Sven  
**Last Name** Koenig  
**Email** skoenig@usc.edu  
**TA** 2


**Creation Date** Tue, Jun 28, 2016

## How would you rate the instructor's effectiveness on the following items?

1. Clearly articulated course goals.




2. Organized course to achieve those goals.




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.57
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.79
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.73
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.30
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.28

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.57
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.79
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.73
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.30
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.28

3. Carefully explained difficult concepts, methods, and subject matter.




4. Encouraged students to participate in their learning (e.g., through discussion, projects, study groups and other appropriate activities).




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.71
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.49
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.45
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.18
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.17

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.71
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.76
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.70
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.29
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.26

5. Was accessible to students (e.g., during office hours, before and after class, etc.).



6. Evaluated student work in fair and appropriate ways.




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.43
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.98
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.90
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.37
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.34

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.57
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.79
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.73
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.30
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.28


7. Was enthusiastic about communicating the subject matter.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	0	0.00%
4 Above Average	1	14.29%
5 Excellent	6	85.71%
Total	7	



8. Stimulated student interest in the subject matter.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	1	14.29%
3 Average	2	28.57%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	4	57.14%
Total	7	




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.86
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.38
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.35
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.14
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.13


Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.00
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-1.29
Population Standard Deviation	+/-1.20
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.49
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.45

## How would you rate the instructor's effectiveness on the following items? (continued)

9. Presented subject matter in ways that were academically challenging.




10. Provided students a valuable learning experience.



Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.14
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-1.07
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.99
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.40
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.37


Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.43
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.98
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.90
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.37
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.34

### Overall, how would you rate this instructor?



Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.57
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.79
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.73
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.30
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.28

### Overall, how would you rate this course?




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.29
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.95
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.88
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.36
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.33


## School of Engineering Supplemental Questions for Instructor

1. The instructor provided a good plan for the course. 2. Graded work was returned in a timely fashion.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	2	28.57%
4 Above Average	1	14.29%
5 Excellent	4	57.14%
Total	7	



1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	2	28.57%
4 Above Average	1	14.29%
5 Excellent	4	57.14%
Total	7	




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.29
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.95
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.88
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.36
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.33

Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.29
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.95
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.88
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.36
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.33

3. There was adequate coordination between the TA/Grader and the instructor.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	2	28.57%
4 Above Average	1	14.29%
5 Excellent	4	57.14%
Total	7	




Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.29
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.95
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.88
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.36
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.33

## Course Related Supplemental Questions

1. The prerequisites for the course were adequate.


1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	2	28.57%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	5	71.43%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	



Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.43
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.98
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.90
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.37
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.34

2. There was a systematic progression of topics / materials throughout the course.


1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	1	14.29%
4 Above Average	1	14.29%
5 Excellent	5	71.43%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	



Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.57
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.79
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.73
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.30
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.28

3. The reading material / texts and assignments contributed to a coherent learning experience.

1 Poor	0	0.00%
2 Below Average	0	0.00%
3 Average	2	28.57%
4 Above Average	0	0.00%
5 Excellent	5	71.43%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7</b>	



Statistics	Value
Response Count	7
Mean	4.43
Median	5.00
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	+/-0.98
Population Standard Deviation	+/-0.90
Standard Error (base on SD)	+/-0.37
Standard Error (base on PSD)	+/-0.34